

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/820,836	04/08/2004	Ian Miska	CU-3678 WDD	8472
7590 01/24/2005			EXAMINER	
W. Dennis Drehkoff			DAVIS, CASSANDRA HOPE	
Ladas & Parry Suite 1200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
224 South Michigan Avenue			3611	
Chicago, IL 6	50604		DATE MAILED: 01/24/200:	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

V
$V)_{\delta}$
1/8

## Office Action Summary

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/820,836		MISKA, IAN	
Examiner		Art Unit	
	Cassandra Davis	3611	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -- Period for Reply

## A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>30days</u> MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Exterafter - If the - If NC - Failu Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply with period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will a ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cau reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dated patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	hin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. pply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. use the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action	tion is non-final.					
3)[	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-13 and 29-42 is/are pending in the app	olication.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)[	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
(8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-13 and 29-42</u> are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers	•					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept	ed or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
•	Applicant may not request that any objection to the dra	wing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exan	niner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign pr	iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents h	ave been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* (	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	the certified copies not received.					
Attachmen	• •	_					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/820,836 Page 2

Art Unit: 3611

## Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- 2. Group 1, drawn to figures 1, 2, 4, and 5;
- 3. Group, 2, drawn to figure 3;
- 4. Group 3, drawn to figures 6, 8, and 9;
- 5. Group 4, drawn to figure 7;
- 6. Group 5, drawn to figures 10-13;
- 7. Group 6, drawn to figures 14-17;
- 8. Group 7, drawn to figures 18-21;
- 9. Group 8, drawn to figures 22-23;
- 10. Group 9, drawn to figure 24; and
- 11. Group 10, drawn to figure 25.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim appears to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Page 3

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

12. A telephone call was made to W. Dennis Drehkoff on January 19, 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cassandra Davis whose telephone number is 703-308-2223. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00-4:30.

Art Unit: 3611

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on 703-308-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cassandra Davis Primary Examiner Art Unit 3611

CD January 19, 2005